

# Community engagement in HIV research: Lessons learned from four studies

Andrew D. Eaton & John W. McCullagh

Contact: [andrew.eaton@utoronto.ca](mailto:andrew.eaton@utoronto.ca)

Article preprint: <https://bit.ly/2VIAyll>



**FACTOR-INWENTASH**  
FACULTY OF SOCIAL WORK  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



ONTARIO  
HIV TREATMENT  
NETWORK

This work was supported by community-based research grants from the Ontario HIV Treatment Network (OHTN) & a pilot study grant from the CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network (CTN). Andrew Eaton's salary is supported by an OHTN Endgame Leader Award.



**the CTN**  
CIHR Canadian  
HIV Trials Network

**le Réseau**  
Réseau canadien  
pour les essais VIH des IRSC



# Background

**Community engagement** – frequently referred to as *community-based participatory research (CBPR)* – is now a requirement for many funding streams of health and social science research in Canada, especially in HIV research.

- CBPR may improve a study's relevance and sensitivity to a population, impact of findings, and community capacity-building
- This approach also acknowledges the longstanding history of people living with HIV leading and contributing to their own health, wellbeing, and socio-political-legal climate

However, research continues to be conducted and published with varying levels of community engagement and there is a lack of literature detailing pragmatic possibilities to engage peers in exploratory and intervention-based research.

- Existing literature on CBR focuses largely on principles and guidelines, or on CBR implementation in a single study

This paper synthesizes strengths and weaknesses of four studies conducted by the authors and discusses lessons learned for future endeavours



# Four Studies

1. Magnetic Couples – a pilot psychoeducational support group:  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/eprint/QCP2M9SPXNMD8HGWQIPG/full?target=10.1080/01609513.2017.1369922>
2. HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorder (HAND) & Social Work:  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/eprint/6FP5PR9TNHJJBXTQR82T/full?target=10.1080/00981389.2017.1339759>
3. ART of Conversation – a pilot, personalized peer intervention:  
<https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/9/3/e026674.full>
4. HAND Group Therapy – a pilot randomized, controlled trial:  
<https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/9/10/e033183> &  
<https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-21835/v1>

**Community engagement across four studies**

Engagement type	Magnetic couples	HAND & social work	ART of conversation	HAND RCT
Concept development	X	X	X	X
Questionnaire development	X	X	X	X
Intervention design	X	N/A	X	X
Data collection		X	X	X
Data analysis	X	X	X	X
Dissemination	X	X	X	X



# Types of Community Engagement

---

**Concept Development** – client engagement sessions, community-based research team meetings, and needs assessment questionnaires

**Questionnaire Development** - developing and modifying standardized measures and determining relevant and sensitive demographic and qualitative questions

**Intervention Design** – developing a new intervention, choosing potentially promising interventions and adapting them for a specific context, or recruiting participants and engaging in a participatory process to design the intervention as it is being received

**Data Collection** – peer-conducted surveys, focus groups, and interviews

**Data Analysis** – coding and group consensus on emerging themes

**Dissemination** – presentation and publication



# Bolstering Strengths, Mitigating Challenges

---

## Training and Supervision

<https://www.tandfonline.com/eprint/HMPZHCDYDFBEQMJD9DN/full?target=10.1080/14767333.2018.1462143> and <https://academic.oup.com/swr/article-abstract/43/3/195/5550914?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

## Choice and Variety

Considering different types of engagement on a study, from full involvement at all stages to completion of a single task, and distinct roles for peer researchers and peer interventionists

## Motivation

<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1476750318811913?journalCode=arja>

## In Conclusion

Developing a supportive work environment of thoughtful training and supervision alongside choice and variety and consideration of motivations beyond compensation are the key takeaways for successful community engagement in this context.