Condom use and HIV criminalization: a remaining issue in 2020

Cécile Kazatchkine – Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network Richard Elliott – Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network Ryan Peck –HIV & AIDS Legal Clinic Ontario Léa Pelletier-Marcotte - Coalition des organismes communautaires québécois de lutte contre le sida (COCQ-SIDA)



Canadian | Réseau HIV/AIDS | juridique Legal | canadien Network | VIH/sida

Contact: ckazatchkine@aidslaw.ca

www.aidslaw.ca

The Supreme Court of Canada decision in *R. v. Mabior* (2012)

- In 2012, the Supreme Court of Canada (SCC) decided that people living with HIV can be criminally prosecuted for not disclosing their HIV-positive status before sex that poses "a *realistic possibility of HIV transmission.*"
- The decision has, in some cases, been interpreted as requiring both condom use and a low viral load in order to avoid prosecution, which meant that people who used condoms but didn't have a low viral load were at risk of prosecutions (and were in fact convicted).



National and international scientific consensus post-*Mabior*

• When a condom is used correctly (meaning the integrity of the condom is not compromised and the condom is worn throughout the sex act in question) **HIV transmission is not possible.**

Canadian consensus statement on HIV and its transmission in the context of the criminal law (2014); Expert Consensus Statement on the science of HIV in the context of the criminal law (2018)



Some (insufficient) positive policy developments

- In December 2018, the Attorney General of Canada directed federal lawyers to <u>generally not</u> prosecute people who use condoms (regardless of viral load) "because there is likely no realistic possibility of transmission."
- In June 2019, the House of Commons Standing Committee on Justice and Human Rights also made strong recommendations against prosecution when a condom is used.



• But provinces are lagging behind in developing sound guidelines for prosecutors that would protect people from prosecution when they use condoms.

But the law remains unsettled

- Since the SCC decision in 2012, people have been acquitted in circumstances when they had a low or suppressed viral load (even if they did not use a condom).
 - However, people remain at risk of prosecution when a condom is worn and they do <u>not</u> have a low viral load.
- In Nova Scotia, courts have found that sex with a condom does not pose a "realistic possibility of HIV transmission" (regardless of viral load). But in Ontario, a man was convicted for not disclosing his HIV status before sex although he used a condom (his viral load was not low).



The Ontario conviction was appealed. The Canadian HIV/ AIDS Legal Network, HIV & AIDS Legal Clinic Ontario and COCQ-SIDA intervened. A decision by the Court of Appeal for Ontario should be released in 2020.